

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/721,445 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) SEDARAT ET AL. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner Lawrence B. Williams </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 2611 </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/721,445	Applicant(s) SEDARAT ET AL.	Examiner Lawrence B. Williams	Art Unit 2611
Application No. 10/721,445	Applicant(s) SEDARAT ET AL.				
Examiner Lawrence B. Williams	Art Unit 2611				

All Participants:

(1) Lawrence B. Williams.

(2) Daniel E. Ovanezian.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:10 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Delete material of specification which reference computer readable medium as non-statutory embodiments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence B Williams/
Examiner, Art Unit 2611

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)